

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

JAMES ALLEN
130 N COUNTRY CLUB RD
ADA OK 74820

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"


1. Page 2 **The Author, James Allen**
2. Page 3 **England Recognizes the Danger**
3. Page 4 **Treatment of All Equal by Class**
4. Page 5 **On the Purchase of Commission of UNITED STATES PhD Programs**
5. Page 6 **Image from Piranna Games of JAMES ALLEN (STRYX) as Staff in 2013**
6. Page 7 **When You Realize...**
7. Page 9 **What the States are seeking to collect**
8. Page 10 **Insurrection, Rebellion, and Sedition**
9. Page 11 **Title IV Fraud and 23% of children**
10. Page 12 **The Seven Alpha Network**
11. Page 13 **Perfect Secrecy**
12. Page 14 **Business in 1991-2020 Card from 1997**
13. Page 15 **Health and Life Insurance Test of 2017**
14. Page 16 **"A Free Man" - Magna Carta quoted by Ginsburg in Timbs v Indiana (2019)**
15. Page 17 **Full Opinion of Justice Ginsburg for 9-0 unanimous Supreme Court (2019)**
16. Page 21 **Oklahoma Constitution Article I and II section 1 rule**
17. Page 25 **Wholly In Default, the Judge did Cross Examine for the Petitioner unlawfully**
18. Page 34 **"Operation of Law" defined**
19. Page 35 **Taking Prohibited 28 U.S.C. section 1738A(e)**
20. Page 36 **Recursive Function and Callback Function in the State and Federal Law Exposed**
21. Page 37 **Things My Grandmother Said - "God Made You a Weapon"**
22. Page 38 **"All I ever wanted"**
23. Page 40 **A Nation Separated**
24. Page 41 **The Blood of a Generation**
25. Page 43 **Reliance upon mental health to degrade, invalidate, and impeach genocide victims**
26. Page 45 **Healthcare as a Means to Incapacitate a Political Body At Law**
27. Page 48 **Racism and Monopoly Defined in Democratic National Party Platform**
28. Page 49 **ABANDONMENT defined in "Petersen v ALLEN"**
29. Page 50 **18 U.S.C. 2071 and Revelations 22:18-19, The book of Life and Civil Honors Struck**
30. Page 51 **Omission of Truth in Hermeneutics**

31. Page 52 **Summary of "Petersen v ALLEN", a fraud in promise of marriage and conspiracy**
32. Page 53 **APPENDIX - TCP "SACK" Network Attack**
33. Page 54 **APPENDIX - Coordinated attack on SERVER #1 Feb 22 2020 (host 234)**
34. Page 55 **APPENDIX - Coordinated attack on SERVER #2 Feb 22 2020 (host 238)**

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 16 2020 at 8:09 pm



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 17 2020 at 9:52 pm

Comes now England to the fight, in the name of Christ we rise!

https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2158490/Mothers-deny-fathers-access-couple-s-children-break-jailed.html?fbclid=IwAR2UUhL0p3VSyrfigNmMyIhw0Ifa3Cgq1qCZciuFyiGHxRSMWQW_KGQhxMg

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 18 2020 at 4:21 pm (repost from Feb 18 2018, via Facebook service suggestion)



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 18 2020 at 7:53 pm

Do you trust the PhDs of this generation? I do not.

https://americanmilitarynews.com/2020/02/harvard-yale-under-investigation-for-375-million-secret-funding-from-china-saudi-arabia/?fbclid=IwAR0N0wkwGG2qc9AyA0ftGPyeRLyCTRGNKFs5jxh_JDK46nCHjKJhrZod8

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 18 2020 at 8:54 pm



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 19 2020 at 8:50 am

When you realize that:

1.) child support is a portion of the 'factual income' of a parent; it is not and never has been an amount in excess of 15 USC 1673b rule; and is void after sixty (60) days from claims and enforcement per 45 CFR 303.6 Federal Law; making all demands over sixty (60) days past due "illegal demands of unlawful debt and a felony per 18 USC Chapter 95 and 96", and any order making demands as "owing and due" over 65% of net income as in 01-17702-R a void legal instrument per 15 USC 1673(c) Federal Law; so cited in explicitly in 45 CFR governing the States upon consideration of any Title IV money accepted by their legal person; in waiver of State Sovereignty (302.0 and 303.0 rule). By law, support may not exceed 25% of disposable income under present law (18 USC 666(a)(10)) per 15 USC 1673(a); and per 45 CFR 302.56(c) all such claims are "unjust" and "inappropriate" and rendered void per 45 CFR 302.56(f) and 302.56(g) rule governing the Title IV programs, a fraud and a war crime in context to concealment conditional payment or other consideration in excess of rule.

All fines over factual income are VOID per 586 U.S. case 17-1091 as defined EXCESSIVE FINES, and forfeiture of the body of the agent or their labor or estate prohibited to the State and Federal Court, per opinion by Justice Ginsberg for the nine (9) Supreme Court Justices in unanimous consent (2019) and effective per Ginsberg since July 9 1868 the Supreme Law of the Land, not ex post facto, the rule of law.

Void means "null and void", having no legal life at any time, obligating repayment of all collection in such cause as "Fraud" upon first violation or any violation at any time in term.

2.) 42 USC 666(a)(10) obligates the State to "automatically" change support to "factual income" of the parent - not average income of the area;

3.) any non-payment is not cause to conceal a child or refuse to give location and health information on a child pledged by the abducting parent;

4.) abandonment means you swear under oath the other parent left your home rather than you left the home of that parent with the child and did so after taking \$10,000 and against their will by surprise and to kidnap the child for purpose of concealment;

5.) Federal Law obligates a repayment of all money in excess of a "final judgment" which is rendered by "operation of law" per 42 USC 666(a)(9) rule; and such final judgment was issued July 1 2002 for \$500.00 USD by Texas Family Code section 157.261 binding over the case per CHOICE OF LAW in UIFSA rule invoked by your attorney November 18 2018;

6.) that you owe over \$250,000 USD in OVERPAYMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT to the man you threatened to murder and attempted to murder;

But the courts are so embarrassed they engaged in 18 USC 2071 destruction of evidence to conceal this 18 year taking and hiding of a UNITED STATES CITIZEN under their authority; in commission of 18 USC 666 embezzlement of funds; and falsified documents also in violation of "KELLY v KELLY" (2007, OK Supreme Court P100) and "Malone v Malone" (1979 OK) court cases, to deflect from a \$28 billion theft of Federal Funds by State of Oklahoma and \$14 billion theft of Federal Funds by State of Texas; still in violation of 45 CFR 302.0 and 303.0 since February 19 2017, contrary Federal Law since 1991 December 16th per Federal Register Volume 81 Number 244;

Then you must feel pretty stupid talking to me about it now.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

War crimes, 18 USC 1091 and the related Supreme Law in Treaty on "Complicity" are obligated answer per Oklahoma Constitution Article II section II-6 rule, contrary any statutory rule or limitation alleged and in concert with a statute of limitations that begins the moment the party enters into the State not as a party in a lawsuit (as they did November 18 2018, legally).

Neither STATE OF TEXAS nor STATE OF OKLAHOMA are in compliance, voiding their \$2.2 billion USD annual grant in 2017-2020, subject refund and 10% fine for Qui Tam lawsuit claim; in addition to violation of XXIII-1A criminal law in Oklahoma Constitution by threats of extortion in 2002-2020.

Regard now these crimes, and let it be known by all men the treason of STATE OF TEXAS before the Federal Union is exposed and made clear an act in Petersen v ALLEN.

The record of which is not subject "proof" by STATE OF TEXAS nor STATE OF OKLAHOMA and all such rights waived in statutory defense of TEXAS FAMILY CODE 157.261 "final judgment" then the sole award afforded case 01-17702-R not then "automatic mistrial" on the record of Veronica M. Petersen then "wholly in default" in December 2001 court report; and the misreport of such outcomes a felony in each count before the public, civil wrongdoing per 76 O.S. 76-3 and 76-4 rule; and conspiracy to kidnap a child for ransom per 76 O.S. 76-8 subject 76 O.S. 76-9 relief in civil order prescribed 21 O.S. 21-748 "human trafficking" and 21 O.S. 21-748.2 civil counter-claim; in addition to 22 USC Chapter 78 rule for AGGRAVATED CIRCUMSTANCES the disbarment of all officers involved, in "abuse of legal process" defined in section 7102, a felony crime.

Be it known these claims and any record to the contrary a felony act, and so report the abduction for political interference in the suffrage of persons to disrupt the 2000, 2004, 2008, 2012, 2016, and 2020 Presidential Election process by STATE OF TEXAS and STATE OF OKLAHOMA jointly with the Democratic Party of each state, a war crime now made public upon the failure to afford the remedy obligated 42 USC section 666(a)(9) rule in all States, and 21 O.S. 21-748.2 rule of protection to end suspicion in a human trafficking act under color of law and Title IV fraud.

The purpose of which was the transfer of technology from the UNITED STATES to ICELAND, SWEDEN and SOUTH KOREA; and in interest (5-25%) of NATION OF JAPAN and PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA in industrial espionage and sabotage act, aided by persons in State of Texas, State of Oklahoma, and State of Arkansas explicitly by 18 USC 242 "Deprivation of Rights" and 18 USC 241 "Conspiracy against Rights" in "Petersen v ALLEN" and "VERONICA PETERSEN v JAMES ALLEN".



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 19 2020 at 9:06 am

What the States are seeking is to collect 65% of earnings, then return in subsequent periods of loss of earnings and allege a right to take the 35% of income not subject taking later; themed a forfeiture power of the State civil court; for failure to comply with 45 CFR and Federal Law at any time in 1868-2020, and to employ 42 USC 1994 violation in this action to compel by forced labor, forfeiture of body (incarceration) and other forms of abuse prohibited their civil procedures and powers by the Unanimous Supreme Court; concealing false books and false write-downs of fees and claims by their agents prohibited per Federal Register Volume 81 Number 244 and 45 CFR 302.0 and 303.0 rule.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 19 2020 at 9:09 am

The words "Insurrection, Rebellion, and Sedition" (18 USC 2383 and 2384) do not do justice to the abuse themed Title IV fraud now before the court in the matter of the State taking of UNITED STATES CITIZENS and reliance on false medical practice to conceal the crime in embezzlement from the THE TREASURY OF THE UNITED STATES, paired with terrorist threats.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 19 2020 at 9:10 am

Title IV fraud has led to 23% of all children entering into the United States family court having lost all contact with one parent subject to this illegal taking, resulting in a national crisis of identity and fraud against the suffrage rights of the United States and the people.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 19 2020 at 11:18 pm

The 7A network is the Seven Alpha Network. It contains three keys. This system is coordinated by the domain DeathHellAndTheGrave.com - which we created. The name refers to the Seventh Seal, and the words that shall not be written down but exist in the hearts of all believers. That you might know the Word, once it was spoken aloud.

Revelations 10:4

And when the seven thunders spoke, I was about to write; but I heard a voice from heaven say, "Seal up what the seven thunders have said and do not write it down."

The inspiration for our cryptography solution and "perfect secrecy" key system.

<http://deathhellandthegrave.com/>

Death, Hell, and the Grave

Greetings:

I have owned this domain for over a decade as of 2019, in the sincerest hope it should not be necessary. This is the landing page for our network. If your browser has the 'three keys' (Death, Hell, and the Grave) then you can see the member services. If not, then your name is not registered in "The Book of Life", our record of all persons who have been granted that privilege.

Initial Public Use

At onset, this site hosted three blogs which became the target of a child kidnapping for ransom by members of the community in Enid Oklahoma, Denton Texas, Fayetteville Arkansas, Tulsa Oklahoma, and nearby Dallas Texas and Oklahoma City.

Persons in Minnesota, Michigan, California, and the State of New York also aided in this fraud to abduct and conceal a child in violation of a lawful possession by the father and in obstruction of ORDERED POSSESSION for return in 2002 obtained in automatic mistrial upon which the United States and its member states falsely relied to cover up the child kidnapping over 2001-2019. Elements in this fraud included also a London resident convicted of illegal surveillance of a minor during the 2015-2018 period of International industrial espionage and blackmail activity.

The child is now an adult, and we are going to talk about the human trafficking activity of the United States and its member states here.

Commercial Trademark

I own the trademark to the literary use of the word "STRYX", as shown in:

1992 Business Card and Internet Publication Use

as well as the registered trademark

Effort to make claims over this 1992-2019 use are fraud, and forfeit the rights of the nations engaging in that fraud under the Berne Convention. Learn More

Sincerely,
Stryx

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 19 2020 at 11:36 pm

Perfect Secrecy:


<https://crypto.stackexchange.com/questions/3896/simply-put-what-does-perfect-secrecy-mean?fbclid=IwAR3y8C31ytHolNML6l3tbgrfyHE3-dFrTlqQwmTwH3TASPArsSIQFNXIvo8>

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 19 2020 at 11:46

Oh look, my business card from the mid 1990s. And what is that? My stryx mark on the email for the BUSINESS! So, prior use 1993-2020. Plagiarism is such an ugly word for a professor or PhD to have to admit. Disbarment would be my suggested punishment for stealing at that level.



Wayne Hancock I remember that card

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 20 2020 at 9:45 pm

I passed the Health and Life Insurance Producer test in 2017, but the State demanded I pay a fee in violation of Federal Law and State law to grant a license. This is why I view the State of Oklahoma has engaging in fraud in 2017 Feb 20 to 2020 Feb 21, contrary State and Federal Law.

https://reason.com/2019/08/01/occupational-licensing-is-keeping-americans-stuck-in-place/?linkId=72288307&fbclid=IwAR0PMlyAF1B2iR-7-5WdNLzFXV--tSLcwQhdxmIxT_A0LW4uN_TPv0HFUcY

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 20 2020 at 10:42 pm

"A free man shall be amerced for a small fault only according to the measure thereof, and for a great crime according to its magnitude, saving his position; and in like manner, a merchant saving his trade, and a villein saving his tillage, if they should fall under Our mercy." Magna Carta, ch. 20 (1215), in A. Howard, Magna Carta: Text & Commentary 42 (rev. ed. 1998).

<https://caselaw.findlaw.com/us-supreme-court/17-1091.html>

The official "Fuck You" from Justice Ginsberg on *Timbs v Indiana*, which applies equally to *Petersen v ALLEN*.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 20 2020 at 10:46 pm

JUSTICE GINSBURG delivered the opinion of the Court.

Tyson Timbs pleaded guilty in Indiana state court to dealing in a controlled substance and conspiracy to commit theft. The trial court sentenced him to one year of home detention and five years of probation, which included a court-supervised addiction-treatment program. The sentence also required Timbs to pay fees and costs totaling \$1,203. At the time of Timbs's arrest, the police seized his vehicle, a Land Rover SUV Timbs had purchased for about \$42,000. Timbs paid for the vehicle with money he received from an insurance policy when his father died.

The State engaged a private law firm to bring a civil suit for forfeiture of Timbs's Land Rover, charging that the vehicle had been used to transport heroin. After Timbs's guilty plea in the criminal case, the trial court held a hearing on the forfeiture demand. Although finding that Timbs's vehicle had been used to facilitate violation of a criminal statute, the court denied the requested forfeiture, observing that Timbs had recently purchased the vehicle for \$42,000, more than four times the maximum \$10,000 monetary fine assessable against him for his drug conviction. Forfeiture of the Land Rover, the court determined, would be grossly disproportionate to the gravity of Timbs's offense, hence unconstitutional under the Eighth Amendment's Excessive Fines Clause. The Court of Appeals of Indiana affirmed that determination, but the Indiana Supreme Court reversed. 84 N. E. 3d 1179 (2017). The Indiana Supreme Court did not decide whether the forfeit-ure would be excessive. Instead, it held that the Excessive Fines Clause constrains only federal action and is inapplicable to state impositions. We granted certiorari. 585 U. S. ___ (2018).

The question presented: Is the Eighth Amendment's Excessive Fines Clause an "incorporated" protection applicable to the States under the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause? Like the Eighth Amendment's proscriptions of "cruel and unusual punishment" and "[e]xcessive bail," the protection against excessive fines guards against abuses of government's punitive or criminal-law-enforcement authority. This safeguard, we hold, is "fundamental to our scheme of ordered liberty," with "dee[p] root[s] in [our] history and tradition." *McDonald v. Chicago*, 561 U. S. 742, 767 (2010) (internal quotation marks omitted; emphasis deleted). The Excessive Fines Clause is therefore incorporated by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

I

A

When ratified in 1791, the Bill of Rights applied only to the Federal Government. *Barron ex rel. Tiernan v. Mayor of Baltimore*, 7 Pet. 243 (1833). "The constitutional Amendments adopted in the aftermath of the Civil War," however, "fundamentally altered our country's federal system." *McDonald*, 561 U. S., at 754. With only "a handful" of exceptions, this Court has held that the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause incorporates the protections contained in the Bill of Rights, rendering them applicable to the States. *Id.*, at 764-765, and nn. 12-13. A Bill of Rights protection is incorporated, we have explained, if it is "fundamental to our scheme of ordered liberty," or "deeply rooted in this Nation's history and tradition." *Id.*, at 767 (internal quotation marks omitted; emphasis deleted).

Incorporated Bill of Rights guarantees are "enforced against the States under the Fourteenth Amendment according to the same standards that protect those personal rights against federal encroachment." *Id.*, at 765 (internal quotation marks omitted). Thus, if a Bill of Rights protection is incorporated, there is no daylight between the federal and state conduct it prohibits or requires.¹

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

B

Under the Eighth Amendment, "[e]xcessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted." Taken together, these Clauses place "parallel limitations" on "the power of those entrusted with the criminal-law function of government." *Browning-Ferris Industries of Vt., Inc. v. Kelco Disposal, Inc.*, 492 U. S. 257, 263 (1989) (quoting *Ingraham v. Wright*, 430 U. S. 651, 664 (1977)). Directly at issue here is the phrase "nor excessive fines imposed," which "limits the government's power to extract payments, whether in cash or in kind, 'as punishment for some offense.'" *United States v. Bajakajian*, 524 U. S. 321, 327-328 (1998) (quoting *Austin v. United States*, 509 U. S. 602, 609-610 (1993)). The Fourteenth Amendment, we hold, incorporates this protection.

The Excessive Fines Clause traces its venerable lineage back to at least 1215, when Magna Carta guaranteed that "[a] Free-man shall not be amerced for a small fault, but after the manner of the fault; and for a great fault after the greatness thereof, saving to him his contenment" §20, 9 Hen. III, ch. 14, in 1 Eng. Stat. at Large 5 (1225).² As relevant here, Magna Carta required that economic sanctions "be proportioned to the wrong" and "not be so large as to deprive [an offender] of his livelihood." *Browning-Ferris*, 492 U. S., at 271. See also 4 W. Blackstone, *Commentaries on the Laws of England* 372 (1769) ("[N]o man shall have a larger amercement imposed upon him, than his circumstances or personal estate will bear . . ."). But cf. *Bajakajian*, 524 U. S., at 340, n. 15 (taking no position on the question whether a person's income and wealth are relevant considerations in judging the excessiveness of a fine).

Despite Magna Carta, imposition of excessive fines persisted. The 17th century Stuart kings, in particular, were criticized for using large fines to raise revenue, harass their political foes, and indefinitely detain those un-able to pay. E.g., *The Grand Remonstrance* ¶¶17, 34 (1641), in *The Constitutional Documents of the Puritan Revolution 1625-1660*, pp. 210, 212 (S. Gardiner ed., 3d ed. rev. 1906); *Browning-Ferris*, 492 U. S., at 267. When James II was overthrown in the Glorious Revolution, the attendant English Bill of Rights reaffirmed Magna Carta's guarantee by providing that "excessive Bail ought not to be required, nor excessive Fines imposed; nor cruel and unusual Punishments inflicted." 1 Wm. & Mary, ch. 2, §10, in 3 Eng. Stat. at Large 441 (1689).

Across the Atlantic, this familiar language was adopted almost verbatim, first in the Virginia Declaration of Rights, then in the Eighth Amendment, which states: "Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted."

Adoption of the Excessive Fines Clause was in tune not only with English law; the Clause resonated as well with similar colonial-era provisions. See, e.g., *Pa. Frame of Govt., Laws Agreed Upon in England*, Art. XVIII (1682), in 5 *Federal and State Constitutions* 3061 (F. Thorpe ed. 1909) ("[A]ll fines shall be moderate, and saving men's contemnments, merchandize, or wainage."). In 1787, the constitutions of eight States--accounting for 70% of the U. S. population--forbade excessive fines. Calabresi, Agudo, & Dore, *State Bills of Rights in 1787 and 1791*, 85 S. Cal. L. Rev. 1451, 1517 (2012).

An even broader consensus obtained in 1868 upon ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment. By then, the constitutions of 35 of the 37 States--accounting for over 90% of the U. S. population--expressly prohibited excessive fines. Calabresi & Agudo, *Individual Rights Under State Constitutions When the Fourteenth Amendment Was Ratified in 1868*, 87 Texas L. Rev. 7, 82 (2008).

Notwithstanding the States' apparent agreement that the right guaranteed by the Excessive Fines Clause was fundamental, abuses continued. Following the Civil War, Southern States enacted Black Codes to subjugate newly freed slaves and maintain the prewar racial hierarchy. Among

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

these laws' provisions were draconian fines for violating broad proscriptions on "vagrancy" and other dubious offenses. See, e.g., Mississippi Vagrant Law, Laws of Miss. §2 (1865), in 1 W. Fleming, *Documentary History of Reconstruction* 283-285 (1950). When newly freed slaves were unable to pay imposed fines, States often demanded involuntary labor instead. E.g., *id.* §5; see Finkelman, John Bingham and the Background to the Fourteenth Amendment, 36 *Akron L. Rev.* 671, 681-685 (2003) (describing Black Codes' use of fines and other methods to "replicate, as much as possible, a system of involuntary servitude"). Congressional debates over the Civil Rights Act of 1866, the joint resolution that became the Fourteenth Amendment, and similar measures repeatedly mentioned the use of fines to coerce involuntary labor. See, e.g., Cong. Globe, 39th Cong., 1st Sess., 443 (1866); *id.*, at 1123-1124.

Today, acknowledgment of the right's fundamental nature remains widespread. As Indiana itself reports, all 50 States have a constitutional provision prohibiting the imposition of excessive fines either directly or by requiring proportionality. Brief in Opposition 8-9. Indeed, Indiana explains that its own Supreme Court has held that the Indiana Constitution should be interpreted to impose the same restrictions as the Eighth Amendment. *Id.*, at 9 (citing *Norris v. State*, 271 Ind. 568, 576, 394 N. E. 2d 144, 150 (1979)).

For good reason, the protection against excessive fines has been a constant shield throughout Anglo-American history: Exorbitant tolls undermine other constitutional liberties. Excessive fines can be used, for example, to retaliate against or chill the speech of political enemies, as the Stuarts' critics learned several centuries ago. See *Browning-Ferris*, 492 U. S., at 267. Even absent a political motive, fines may be employed "in a measure out of accord with the penal goals of retribution and deterrence," for "fines are a source of revenue," while other forms of punishment "cost a State money." *Harmelin v. Michigan*, 501 U. S. 957, 979, n. 9 (1991) (opinion of Scalia, J.) ("it makes sense to scrutinize governmental action more closely when the State stands to benefit"). This concern is scarcely hypothetical. See Brief for American Civil Liberties Union et al. as Amici Curiae 7 ("Perhaps because they are politically easier to impose than generally applicable taxes, state and local governments nationwide increasingly depend heavily on fines and fees as a source of general revenue.").

In short, the historical and logical case for concluding that the Fourteenth Amendment incorporates the Excessive Fines Clause is overwhelming. Protection against excessive punitive economic sanctions secured by the Clause is, to repeat, both "fundamental to our scheme of ordered liberty" and "deeply rooted in this Nation's history and tradition." *McDonald*, 561 U. S., at 767 (internal quotation marks omitted; emphasis deleted).

II

The State of Indiana does not meaningfully challenge the case for incorporating the Excessive Fines Clause as a general matter. Instead, the State argues that the Clause does not apply to its use of civil in rem forfeitures because, the State says, the Clause's specific application to such forfeitures is neither fundamental nor deeply rooted.

In *Austin v. United States*, 509 U. S. 602 (1993), however, this Court held that civil in rem forfeitures fall within the Clause's protection when they are at least partially punitive. *Austin* arose in the federal context. But when a Bill of Rights protection is incorporated, the protection applies "identically to both the Federal Government and the States." *McDonald*, 561 U. S., at 766, n. 14. Accordingly, to prevail, Indiana must persuade us either to overrule our decision in *Austin* or to hold that, in light of *Austin*, the Excessive Fines Clause is not incorporated because the Clause's application to civil in rem forfeitures is neither fundamental nor deeply rooted. The first argument is not properly before us, and the second misapprehends the nature of our incorporation inquiry.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

A

In the Indiana Supreme Court, the State argued that forfeiture of Timbs's SUV would not be excessive. See Brief in Opposition 5. It never argued, however, that civil in rem forfeitures were categorically beyond the reach of the Excessive Fines Clause. The Indiana Supreme Court, for its part, held that the Clause did not apply to the States at all, and it nowhere addressed the Clause's application to civil in rem forfeitures. See 84 N. E. 3d 1179. Accordingly, Timbs sought our review of the question "[w]hether the Eighth Amendment's Excessive Fines Clause is incorporated against the States under the Fourteenth Amendment." Pet. for Cert. i. In opposing review, Indiana attempted to reformulate the question to ask "[w]hether the Eighth Amendment's Excessive Fines Clause restricts States' use of civil asset forfeitures." Brief in Opposition i. And on the merits, Indiana has argued not only that the Clause is not incorporated, but also that Austin was wrongly decided. Respondents' "right, in their brief in opposition, to restate the questions presented," however, "does not give them the power to expand [those] questions." *Bray v. Alexandria Women's Health Clinic*, 506 U. S. 263, 279, n. 10 (1993) (emphasis deleted). That is particularly the case where, as here, a respondent's reformulation would lead us to address a question neither pressed nor passed upon below. Cf. *Cutter v. Wilkinson*, 544 U. S. 709, 718, n. 7 (2005) ("[W]e are a court of review, not of first view . . ."). We thus decline the State's invitation to reconsider our unanimous judgment in *Austin* that civil in rem forfeitures are fines for purposes of the Eighth Amendment when they are at least partially punitive.

B

As a fallback, Indiana argues that the Excessive Fines Clause cannot be incorporated if it applies to civil in rem forfeitures. We disagree. In considering whether the Fourteenth Amendment incorporates a protection contained in the Bill of Rights, we ask whether the right guaranteed--not each and every particular application of that right--is fundamental or deeply rooted.

Indiana's suggestion to the contrary is inconsistent with the approach we have taken in cases concerning novel applications of rights already deemed incorporated. For example, in *Packingham v. North Carolina*, 582 U. S. ____ (2017), we held that a North Carolina statute prohibiting registered sex offenders from accessing certain commonplace social media websites violated the First Amendment right to freedom of speech. In reaching this conclusion, we noted that the First Amendment's Free Speech Clause was "applicable to the States under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment." *Id.*, at ____ (slip op., at 1). We did not, however, inquire whether the Free Speech Clause's application specifically to social media websites was fundamental or deeply rooted. See also, e.g., *Riley v. California*, 573 U. S. 373 (2014) (holding, without separately considering incorporation, that States' warrantless search of digital information stored on cell phones ordinarily violates the Fourth Amendment). Similarly here, regardless of whether application of the Excessive Fines Clause to civil in rem forfeitures is itself fundamental or deeply rooted, our conclusion that the Clause is incorporated remains unchanged.

* * *

For the reasons stated, the judgment of the Indiana Supreme Court is vacated, and the case is remanded for further proceedings not inconsistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 21 2020 at 3:33pm

SECTION I-1

Supreme law of land.

The State of Oklahoma is an inseparable part of the Federal Union, and the Constitution of the United States is the supreme law of the land.

SECTION II-1

Political power - Purpose of government - Alteration or reformation.

All political power is inherent in the people; and government is instituted for their protection, security, and benefit, and to promote their general welfare; and they have the right to alter or reform the same whenever the public good may require it: Provided, such change be not repugnant to the Constitution of the United States.

Oklahoma Constitution - 2020 Feb 21.

If the Laws of the United States so specified in the Supreme Law of the Land are not held to be the Supreme Law by the District Court or its officers or other agents, then shall those agents and officers and Courts alienate themselves from the office of the public trust and all offices of public profit of the United States and Federal Union, and all benefits and programs and titles also such as Title IV programs in excess of \$1.4 billion USD per year to the \$8.3 billion USD budget of STATE OF OKLAHOMA; when they fail to comply with 45 CFR 302.0 and 303.0 rule; and all such conditions made in consideration of payment of the portion of \$28.3 billion USD to the States on Federal Register Volume 81 Number 244 rule; and such time for compliance and legislative actions to comply hence passed this day and prior November 18 2018 a barratry to deny the rule; and a crime per 43A O.S. 43A-5-104 in defamation to disparage their UNITED STATES officer in fact so detained and injured by non-compliance under the authority or jurisdiction of the same office or agent, barred by 5 U.S.C. 556(d), 557, and 706 rule at law.

A felony, barred by 18 U.S.C. 242 "Deprivation of Rights" and subject 22 O.S. 22-31 and 22-32 rule, as well as 76 O.S. 76-9 relief in civil procedure per 76 O.S. 76-8 and 76-6 fraud; to include deceit and deceit of the public in 76 O.S. 76-3 and 76-4 rule; suspending the right of the party in 76 O.S. 76-1 to enjoy the return of their child as pledged by STATE OF TEXAS jointly with STATE OF OKLAHOMA under "CHOICE OF LAW" in section 604 of the UNIFORM INTERSTATE FAMILY SUPPORT ACT, a compact between the States.

Thereby did then STATE OF OKLAHOMA "emit a bill of credit" in fraud to pay the STATE OF TEXAS, and engage in a buying of debt for its own interest not permitted Constitution of the United States of America, Article I section 9 rule.

Making false write-down of a debt "fully paid" without consent or endorsement and in violation of 21 O.S. 21-748.1 rule, debt-bondage and "human trafficking by forced labor", and refuse 21 O.S. 21-748.2 rule to provide protection and civil counterclaim; thereby delaying 14 months the relief obligated at law further in deprivation of writs between the States, a conspiracy against rights so prohibited in 18 U.S.C. section 242, a felony, on kidnapping of a UNITED STATES CITIZEN in 2001-2020.

Affirm then the right of such resort to II-3 and II-22 rule of Article II of the Constitution of the State of Oklahoma, and notice therein of Article II section II-1 rule; the formation of SEVEN ALPHA and its associates in defense against an alien body of labor themed a XXIII-1A violation and criminal activity documented in over 300 pages of death threats to JAMES ARNOLD ALLEN, a registered agent of the UNITED STATES then in office of record and service made to threaten destruction upon by Renee Banks in 2018 and Lakeshia McMillan in 2019, by UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, an attack upon the UNITED STATES in INTERSTATE COMMERCE by UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE into the juris of Pontotoc County, and suspension then of rights in pledge to the return of hostages ordered to possession of JAMES

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

ALLEN in claims of false nature under Federal Treasury and benefits fraud themed 18 U.S.C. 666 violation; a felony; and so prohibited by operation of law 28 U.S.C. section 1738A(e) rule; a felony.

Making then death threats, threats of death by fire, destruction of real estate, murder, mutilation, and public hunting to "bloody" the body of the agent and natural person sufficient to employ in writing that such person obtain weapons to defend their person; and then to allege also that such act be a cause of RED FLAG law, to further attack the Republican in his home and community in this action in 2013-2020 publication under user name employing the California Radio Code for 1st Degree murder, and other threat of sexual violence against women in his association including three or more persons and with image to incite the public to do harm to these persons also in intimidation of witnesses in PONTOTOC COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

Makes then criminal complaint of this extortion to deny the estate of WITHERSPOON, ALLEN, KLEPPER, and TAYLOR of their businesses, property, and personal practice by threat of hostage taking in 2001-2020 resultant in the death of two members of the Witherspoon family, one member of the Allen family, and one member of the Taylor family; a serious crime of sedition, insurrection, rebellion, and violation of Article 15, 16 and 23 of the Lieber Code (General Order No 100); to incite war against the United States and against the people; for whom the legal person UNITED STATES alone has no political power to answer and no right to waive this offense or afford it remedy which does not per Oklahoma Constitution article II section II-6 meet all obligations to the same and afford a "return to peace" not made without 18 U.S.C. 1589 protection from "serious harm" and 1593 "mandatory restitution" so also afforded the many rights of 15 U.S.C. 1692n rule; citing 15 U.S.C. 1692d and 1692g violations seeking forfeiture for a civil debt barred by 45 CFR 302.56(c), 302.56(f), and 302.56(g) and 303.6 rule prohibiting demands over sixty (60) days past due from enforcement of any kind; a false debt per 18 U.S.C. Chapter 95 and 96, and form of human trafficking per 22 U.S.C. Chapter 22 so defined in section 7102 "Abuse of legal process or procedure" to engage in human trafficking.

Such acts evident in the records obtained to show criminal fraud and medical malpractice of the UNITED STATES employees and STATE OF OKLAHOMA employees, paired also with medical malpractice of STATE OF TEXAS employees, so shown by license and abuse of license in direct contempt of "KELLY v KELLY" (2007) and "Malone v Malone" (1979), Oklahoma Supreme Court; prior "Timbs v Indiana" (586 U.S. ___ case 17-1091) ruling by 9-0 authority of the Supreme Court of the United States in contest to this abuse "repugnant to the Constitution of the United States" by those officers, employees, and licensed persons carrying out an embezzlement fraud against the benefits of the United States (18 U.S.C. 666).

And against such fraud the contemptuous reproach of similar character by the House of the 116th Congress in 2019 and 2020 expose a "clear and present danger to the United States" and a threat to the "Republican form of government" - a guarantee of the lawful authority invested by limited license in those non-sovereign parties abandonment of the office of the political power invested in their license and commission; treason on prima facie - against the people of the State of Oklahoma and United States. Whose loyalty the offices and agents of the public trust owe their commission and duty above that of the body politic in legal person, UNITED STATES.

That we recognize these entities as natural persons and legal incorporated persons in trust not inseparable at law, contrary the clear rule of Oklahoma Constitution Article II section II-1, is the offense against the parties in violation of 21 O.S. 21-8 rule; and to regard in law the natural persons as is stated in 22 O.S. 22-4, so also written:

§22-4. Construction of words.

Unless when otherwise provided, words used in this code in the present tense include the future as well as the present. Words used in the masculine comprehend as well the feminine and neuter. The singular number includes the plural, and the plural the singular. And the word person includes a corporation as well

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

as a natural person.
R.L.1910, § 2090.

And having done so in rights the claim made, and agents of the Court and State refused to act, that the abandonment of their office is so noticed the failure to offer reply in thirty (30) days of formal service of process , the indictment on information sufficient (22-409), without need to re-argue the basis of 586 U.S. ____ case 17-1091 rule. Nor to re-iterate the operation of law which is a "final judgment in TEXAS FAMILY CODE section 157.261 and UIFSA "CHOICE OF LAW" so invoked the rule of the case, a finding of "automatic mistrial" per KELLY v KELLY" also present in the person of "Veronica M. Petersen" then wholly in default upon December 2001 court record, beyond consternation.

§22-409. Indictment or information, when sufficient.

The indictment or information is sufficient if it can be understood therefrom:

1. That it is entitled in a court having authority to receive it, though the name of the court be not stated.
2. That it was found by a grand jury or presented by the district attorney of the county in which the court was held.
3. That the defendant is named, or if his name cannot be discovered, that he is described by a fictitious name, with the statement that his true name is unknown.
4. That the offense was committed at some place within the jurisdiction of the court, except where the act, though done without the local jurisdiction of the county, is triable therein.
5. That the offense was committed at some time prior to the time of filing the indictment or information.
6. That the act or omission charged as the offense is clearly and distinctly set forth in ordinary and concise language, without repetition, and in such a manner as to enable a person of common understanding to know what is intended.
7. That the act or omission charged as the offense, is stated with such a degree of certainty, as to enable the court to pronounce judgment upon a conviction according to the right of the case.

R.L.1910, § 5746.

§22-411. Matters which need not be stated.

Neither presumptions of law, nor matters of which judicial notice is taken, need be stated in an indictment or information.

R.L.1910, § 5748.

§22-412. Pleading a judgment.

In pleading a judgment or other determination of, or proceeding before a court or officer of special jurisdiction it is not necessary to state the facts conferring jurisdiction; but the judgment or determination may be stated to have been duly given or made. The facts constituting jurisdiction, however, must be established on the trial.

R.L.1910, § 5749.

§22-413. Pleading private statute.

In pleading a private statute, or a right derived therefrom, it is sufficient to refer to the statute by its title and the day of its passage, and the court must thereupon take judicial notice thereof.

R.L. 1910, Sec. 5750.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 21 2020 at 4:56 pm

How can a person who is "Wholly in Default" be "PRESENT TO CROSS EXAMINE" evidence?

When the Judge assumes the role of petitioner to voice objections in a Civil Suit, as in 01-17702R December 2001; and falsely records her refusal to admit evidence of any kind as "ALL EVIDENCE WAS SUBMITTED" then in record; while also reporting that the petitioner was "WHOLLY IN DEFAULT" as having never appeared in any hearing from October 2001 contrary the respondent making present for trial; and then such motion to obtain summary judgment suppressed by the court in violation of 42 U.S.C. 1981 rule; to later favor the petitioner, in cause also that the respondent was an alien resident of the juris in which he was summoned and detained illegally from 2001 August to 2003 January against his will on kidnapping of his son from his car, home, and care during INTERSTATE TRAVEL.

So begins "Petersen v ALLEN", a war crime by STATE OF TEXAS in 2001-2020.

LINK:

In the Matter of FR-18-04

| [Home](#) |

SECTION I-1

Supreme law of land.

The State of Oklahoma is an inseparable part of the Federal Union, and the Constitution of the United States is the supreme law of the land.

SECTION II-1

Political power - Purpose of government - Alteration or reformation. All political power is inherent in the people; and government is instituted for their protection, security, and benefit, and to promote their general welfare; and they have the right to alter or reform the same whenever the public good may require it: Provided, such change be not repugnant to the Constitution of the United States.

Oklahoma Constitution - 2020 Feb 21.

If the Laws of the United States so specified in the Supreme Law of the Land are not held to be the Supreme Law by the District Court or its officers or other agents, then shall those agents and officers and Courts alienate themselves from the office of the public trust and all offices of public profit of the United States and Federal Union, and all benefits and programs and titles also such as Title IV programs in excess of \$1.4 billion USD per year to the \$8.3 billion USD budget of STATE OF OKLAHOMA; when they fail to comply with 45 CFR 302.0 and 303.0 rule; and all such conditions made in consideration of payment of the portion of \$28.3 billion USD to the States on Federal Register Volume 81 Number 244 rule; and such time for compliance and legislative actions to comply hence passed this day and prior November 18 2018 a barratry to deny the rule; and a crime per 43A O.S. 43A-5-104 in defamation to disparage their UNITED STATES officer in fact so detained and injured by non-

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

compliance under the authority or jurisdiction of the same office or agent, barred by 5 U.S.C. 556(d), 557, and 706 rule at law.

A felony, barred by 18 U.S.C. 242 "Deprivation of Rights" and subject 22 O.S. 22-31 and 22-32 rule, as well as 76 O.S. 76-9 relief in civil procedure per 76 O.S. 76-8 and 76-6 fraud; to include deceit and deceit of the public in 76 O.S. 76-3 and 76-4 rule; suspending the right of the party in 76 O.S. 76-1 to enjoy the return of their child as pledged by STATE OF TEXAS jointly with STATE OF OKLAHOMA under "CHOICE OF LAW" in section 604 of the UNIFORM INTERSTATE FAMILY SUPPORT ACT, a compact between the States.

Thereby did then STATE OF OKLAHOMA "emit a bill of credit" in fraud to pay the STATE OF TEXAS, and engage in a buying of debt for its own interest not permitted Constitution of the United States of America, Article I section 9 rule.

Making false write-down of a debt "fully paid" without consent or endorsement and in violation of 21 O.S. 21-748.1 rule, debt-bondage and "human trafficking by forced labor", and refuse 21 O.S. 21-748.2 rule to provide protection and civil counterclaim; thereby delaying 14 months the relief obligated at law further in deprivation of writs between the States, a conspiracy against rights so prohibited in 18 U.S.C. section 242, a felony, on kidnapping of a UNITED STATES CITIZEN in 2001-2020.

Affirm then the right of such resort to II-3 and II-22 rule of Article II of the Constitution of the State of Oklahoma, and notice therein of Article II section II-1 rule; the formation of SEVEN ALPHA and its associates in defense against an alien body of labor themed a XXIII-1A violation and criminal activity documented in over 300 pages of death threats to JAMES ARNOLD ALLEN, a registered agent of the UNITED STATES then in office of record and service made to threaten destruction upon by Renee Banks in 2018 and Lakeshia McMillan in 2019, by UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, an attack upon the UNITED STATES in INTERSTATE COMMERCE by UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE into the juris of Pontotoc County, and suspension then of rights in pledge to the return of hostages ordered to possession of JAMES ALLEN in claims of false nature under Federal Treasury and benefits fraud themed 18 U.S.C. 666 violation; a felony; and so prohibited by operation of law 28 U.S.C. section 1738A(e) rule; a felony.

Making then death threats, threats of death by fire, destruction of real estate, murder, mutilation, and public hunting to "bloody" the body of the agent and natural person sufficient to employ in writing that such person obtain weapons to defend their person; and then to allege also that such act be a cause of RED FLAG law, to further attack the Republican in his home and community in this action in 2013-2020 publication under user name employing the California Radio Code for 1st Degree murder, and other threat of sexual violence against women in his association including three or more persons and with image to incite the public to do harm to these persons also in intimidation of witnesses in PONTOTOC COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

Makes then criminal complaint of this extortion to deny the estate of WITHERSPOON, ALLEN, KLEPPER, and TAYLOR of their businesses, property, and personal practice by threat of hostage taking in 2001-2020 resultant in the death of two members of the Witherspoon family, one member of the Allen family, and one member of the Taylor family; a serious

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

crime of sedition, insurrection, rebellion, and violation of Article 15, 16 and 23 of the Lieber Code (General Order No 100); to incite war against the United States and against the people; for whom the legal person UNITED STATES alone has no political power to answer and no right to waive this offense or afford it remedy which does not per Oklahoma Constitution article II section II-6 meet all obligations to the same and afford a "return to peace" not made without 18 U.S.C. 1589 protection from "serious harm" and 1593 "mandatory restitution" so also afforded the many rights of 15 U.S.C. 1692n rule; citing 15 U.S.C. 1692d and 1692g violations seeking forfeiture for a civil debt barred by 45 CFR 302.56(c), 302.56(f), and 302.56(g) and 303.6 rule prohibiting demands over sixty (60) days past due from enforcement of any kind; a false debt per 18 U.S.C. Chapter 95 and 96, and form of human trafficking per 22 U.S.C. Chapter 22 so defined in section 7102 "Abuse of legal process or procedure" to engage in human trafficking.

Such acts evident in the records obtained to show criminal fraud and medical malpractice of the UNITED STATES employees and STATE OF OKLAHOMA employees, paired also with medical malpractice of STATE OF TEXAS employees, so shown by license and abuse of license in direct contempt of "KELLY v KELLY" (2007) and "Malone v Malone" (1979), Oklahoma Supreme Court; prior "Timbs v Indiana" (586 U.S. ___ case 17-1091) ruling by 9-0 authority of the Supreme Court of the United States in contest to this abuse "repugnant to the Constitution of the United States" by those officers, employees, and licensed persons carrying out an embezzlement fraud against the benefits of the United States (18 U.S.C. 666).

And against such fraud the contemptuous reproach of similar character by the House of the 116th Congress in 2019 and 2020 expose a "clear and present danger to the United States" and a threat to the "Republican form of government" - a guarantee of the lawful authority invested by limited license in those non-sovereign parties abandonment of the office of the political power invested in their license and commission; treason on prima facie - against the people of the State of Oklahoma and United States. Whose loyalty the offices and agents of the public trust owe their commission and duty above that of the body politic in legal person, UNITED STATES.

That we recognize these entities as natural persons and legal incorporated persons in trust not inseparable at law, contrary the clear rule of Oklahoma Constitution Article II section II-1, is the offense against the parties in violation of 21 O.S. 21-8 rule; and to regard in law the natural persons as is stated in 22 O.S. 22-4, so also written:

§22-4. Construction of words. Unless when otherwise provided, words used in this code in the present tense include the future as well as the present. Words used in the masculine comprehend as well the feminine and neuter. The singular number includes the plural, and the plural the singular. And the word person includes a corporation as well as a natural person. R.L.1910, § 2090.

And having done so in rights the claim made, and agents of the Court and State refused to act, that the abandonment of their office is so noticed the failure to offer reply in thirty (30) days of formal service of process, the indictment on information sufficient (22-409), without need to re-argue the basis of 586 U.S. ___ case 17-1091 rule. Nor to re-iterate the operation

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

of law which is a "final judgment in TEXAS FAMILY CODE section 157.261 and UIFSA "CHOICE OF LAW" so invoked the rule of the case, a finding of "automatic mistrial" per KELLY v KELLY" also present in the person of "Veronica M. Petersen" then wholly in default upon December 2001 court record, beyond consternation.

§22-409. Indictment or information, when sufficient.

The indictment or information is sufficient if it can be understood therefrom:

1. That it is entitled in a court having authority to receive it, though the name of the court be not stated.
2. That it was found by a grand jury or presented by the district attorney of the county in which the court was held.
3. That the defendant is named, or if his name cannot be discovered, that he is described by a fictitious name, with the statement that his true name is unknown.
4. That the offense was committed at some place within the jurisdiction of the court, except where the act, though done without the local jurisdiction of the county, is triable therein.
5. That the offense was committed at some time prior to the time of filing the indictment or information.
6. That the act or omission charged as the offense is clearly and distinctly set forth in ordinary and concise language, without repetition, and in such a manner as to enable a person of common understanding to know what is intended.
7. That the act or omission charged as the offense, is stated with such a degree of certainty, as to enable the court to pronounce judgment upon a conviction according to the right of the case.

R.L.1910, § 5746.

§22-411. Matters which need not be stated.

Neither presumptions of law, nor matters of which judicial notice is taken, need be stated in an indictment or information.

R.L.1910, § 5748.

Operation of Law / Final Judgment / Automatic Mistrial

Neither **Operation of Law** [Cornell] nor a **final judgment** [Upcounsel] need be explained or effort beyond citation of the December 16 2016 date of "Federal Register Volume 81 Number 244" made notice and citation of 45 CFR 302.0 and 303.0 rule; obligating the Court "must" comply with the rule then set in 45 CFR 302.56 and 303.6 regarding false claims of false debt and to disbar their standing and demand proof specified therein of the sixty (60) day factual earning against which such claim is twenty-five (25) percent of the net income of the accused be made, or a barratry (21 O.S. 21-550) be evident and so confessed at law.

Further, 42 U.S.C. Section 666(a)(9)(a)

(9)Procedures which require that any payment or installment of support under any child support order, whether ordered through the State judicial system or through the expedited processes required by paragraph (2), is (on and after the date it is due)—

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

(A) a judgment by operation of law, with the full force, effect, and attributes of a judgment of the State, including the ability to be enforced,

(B) entitled as a judgment to full faith and credit in such State and in any other State, and

(C) not subject to retroactive modification by such State or by any other State;

except that such procedures may permit modification with respect to any period during which there is pending a petition for modification, but only from the date that notice of such petition has been given, either directly or through the appropriate agent, to the obligee or (where the obligee is the petitioner) to the obligor.

Texas Family Code § 157.261.

Unpaid Child Support as Judgment

(a) A child support payment not timely made constitutes a final judgment for the amount due and owing, including interest as provided in this chapter.

(b) For the purposes of this subchapter, interest begins to accrue on the date the judge signs the order for the judgment unless the order contains a statement that the order is rendered on another specific date.

Would by express UNIFORM INTERSTATE FAMILY SUPPORT ACT section "CHOICE OF LAW" make then the operation of law in the statute of STATE OF TEXAS incurring on case 01-17702-R a **final judgment** the sole award of \$500.00 USD in any matter not themed interest on an unpaid judgment and such interest obligated the endorsement of Judge DEE MILLER which is not eligible ex post facto to a prior date, a fraud and false debt wrongly filed with the UNITED STATES in forgery of estate title and in the act to 'emit a bill of credit' by STATE OF TEXAS and STATE OF OKLAHOMA not a legal amount due and paid in full prior 2004, after which they continued to harass and defame the party to pay repeatedly in violation of 42 U.S.C. section 666(a)(10) contrary all change in income, in an EXCESSIVE FINE depriving the party of all right to see or speak with his child during 2001 October to 2020 January without legal cause; a felony and under Supreme Law a "War Crime" per ["The Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide"](#), a [United States Treaty](#) on November 25 1988, making it "Supreme Law of the United States", and per Article I section I-1, the "Supreme Law of the Law" of Oklahoma also as of that date prior Petersen v Allen (2001 Oct - 2020 Present).

Remedy for which is obligated per:

Oklahoma Constitution, Article II section II-6

SECTION II-6

Courts of justice open - Remedies for wrongs - Sale, denial or delay.

The courts of justice of the State shall be open to every person, and speedy and certain remedy afforded for every wrong and for every injury to person, property, or reputation; and right and justice shall be administered without sale, denial, delay, or prejudice.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

And such act a criminal act by Federal Law:

18 U.S.C. 242

18 U.S. Code § 242. Deprivation of rights under color of law

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 696; Pub. L. 90–284, title I, § 103(b), Apr. 11, 1968, 82 Stat. 75; Pub. L. 100–690, title VII, § 7019, Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4396; Pub. L. 103–322, title VI, § 60006(b), title XXXII, §§ 320103(b), 320201(b), title XXXIII, § 330016(1) (H), Sept. 13, 1994, 108 Stat. 1970, 2109, 2113, 2147; Pub. L. 104–294, title VI, §§ 604(b)(14)(B), 607(a), Oct. 11, 1996, 110 Stat. 3507, 3511.)

Constituting a Conspiracy Against Rights Per:

18 U.S. Code § 241. Conspiracy against rights

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or

If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured—

They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 696; Pub. L. 90–284, title I, § 103(a), Apr. 11, 1968, 82 Stat. 75; Pub. L. 100–690, title VII, § 7018(a), (b)(1), Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4396; Pub.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

L. 103–322, title VI, § 60006(a), title XXXII, §§ 320103(a), 320201(a), title XXXIII, § 330016(1)(L), Sept. 13, 1994, 108 Stat. 1970, 2109, 2113, 2147; Pub. L. 104–294, title VI, §§ 604(b)(14)(A), 607(a), Oct. 11, 1996, 110 Stat. 3507, 3511.)

§22-412. Pleading a judgment.

In pleading a judgment or other determination of, or proceeding before a court or officer of special jurisdiction it is not necessary to state the facts conferring jurisdiction; but the judgment or determination may be stated to have been duly given or made. The facts constituting jurisdiction, however, must be established on the trial.

R.L.1910, § 5749.

§22-413. Pleading private statute.

In pleading a private statute, or a right derived therefrom, it is sufficient to refer to the statute by its title and the day of its passage, and the court must thereupon take judicial notice thereof.

R.L. 1910, Sec. 5750.

It is evident that the STATE OF TEXAS wrongly construed child support to be 12x18 payments of a fee (\$500) in excess of actual earnings and under conditions of duress, incarceration without conviction, and human trafficking witnessed by C. Melton Mardt in deposition and three other persons, and so also imposed without factual income finding or evidence an ex parte collateral contract of criminal design, did then create a debt for the payment of a fee of such duration not afforded any legal right per EXCESSIVE FINES and suspend without legal cause the 76 O.S. 76-1 rights of JAMES ALLEN to take and to conceal his child from his home and legal address of the child also, for purpose of kidnapping, ransom, and extortion in a plan described in XXIII-1A rule. Disbarring even the due process rights of JAMES ALLEN in the record that Veronica M. Petersen was Dec 2001 "wholly in default" in case 01-17702-R, a perjury alleging abandonment to conceal a child snatching (21 O.S. 21-891, a felony).

The prior act a crime by State of Oklahoma Constitutional Rule; and thereby carry out a plan to forfeit his estate and public office to the UNITED STATES over 2009-2020, in addition to seeking TRADE SECRETS from 2001-2020 in purpose of a foreign power; a criminal export of technology themed a violation of the [WASSANGAAR AGREEMENT](#).

So did they by this act install in the UNITED STATES officer a clear and legal cause to invoke FEDERAL REGISTER VOLUME 81 NUMBER 244 in 45 CFR rule against such activity a treason against the office of the UNITED STATES in State of Oklahoma; so themed 18 U.S.C. 2383 and 2384 offense refused relief on conspiracy of STATE OF TEXAS and STATE OF OKLAHOMA to a criminal contempt

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

of the "Laws of the United States" not requiring consent of any officer or waiver of rights a privilege reserved or held by UNITED STATES against the natural person James Allen so enjoined to 18 U.S.C. 1981 deprivation of equal protection (set forth also in II-1 rule, Constitution of the State of Oklahoma) and also 18 U.S.C. section 1994 protections; made clear by *Timbs v Indiana*(2019), *Turner v Rogers et al* (2011), *Kelly v Kelly* (2007), *Malone v Malone* (1979) and expressly reliant upon void protections first invoked in fraud in "MARK BITARA et al v STATE OF TEXAS" on appeal for "MARK BITARA et al v UNITED STATES", disclaimed by "588 U.S. ____ case 17-647" ruling (2019).

Pursuant Oklahoma Law, 22 O.S. 22-31 and 22-32, JAMES ALLEN and his estate and officers are entitled then to protection and cite 43A O.S. 43A-5-104 violations in written evidence the cause to support such kidnapping by UNITED STATES and STATE OF OKLAHOMA employees made a false trial by 40 minute interview; in classic fraud a violation per *KELLY v KELLY* (2007) already prior filed with the court; and a purposeful obstruction of justice to protect Clay B. Pettis, on behalf of CSED/CSS and "OK DHS", and "Lakeshia McMillan" on behalf of the STATE OF TEXAS; and Director "Renee Banks", who would be liable per 21 O.S. 21-1641, which reads:

§21-1641. Director presumed to have knowledge.

Any director of a corporation or joint stock association is deemed to possess such a knowledge of the affairs of his corporation, as to enable him to determine whether any act, proceeding or omission of its directors, is a violation of this article.

R.L.1910, § 2731.

The term **corporation** does not specify 501(c) or government organization, and per Federal Law there place upon the personal obligation the signatory of the November 2018 letter making demands for property contrary 586 U.S. ____ case 17-1091 rule to threaten JAMES ALLEN in demand of funds over factual income and refused 42 U.S.C. section 666(a)(10) protections an invalid debt not lawful; extortion and blackmail complaint in abuse of office by Renee Banks, Director of OK DHS and her STATE OF OKLAHOMA employer, subject Federal civil suit and relief so long as FR-18-04 and 01-17702-R sustain a matter before the court, a protracted obstruction of justice to impose suspicion for a kidnapping and concealment without due process in perjury and to commit robbery of an Oklahoma Resident then passing through State of Texas with his child in his physical care and in his motor vehicle at the time of unlawful, premeditated, and

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

planned removal on no writ or legal cause; a kidnappping concealed by STATE OF TEXAS and STATE OF OKLAHOMA aided by employees of the UNITED STATES resident there from 2001-2020.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 21 2020 at 4:57 pm

Learn this word:

[https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/operation_of_law?](https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/operation_of_law?fbclid=IwAR0etZgJ1it6HOKhKWcy0hAGKL0FyZvWTG3ttjWTGfj4z4K_pr8VT3J5mQM)

[fbclid=IwAR0etZgJ1it6HOKhKWcy0hAGKL0FyZvWTG3ttjWTGfj4z4K_pr8VT3J5mQM](https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/operation_of_law?fbclid=IwAR0etZgJ1it6HOKhKWcy0hAGKL0FyZvWTG3ttjWTGfj4z4K_pr8VT3J5mQM)

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 21 2020 at 5:02 pm


28 U.S.C. 1738A(e) prohibits the taking, concealment, or isolation of a child from having any impact on custody or ordered possession; nor any agreement obtained by coercion having binding force per XXIII-8 and XXIII-9 rule; such as false imprisonment or extortion of debt in excess of 45 CFR rule 303.6; from suspending the right to return of a child.

The false reliance on this theory is confession of child kidnapping in the discovery of a court order or PLEDGE made REGISTRY IN A COURT CLERK JURIS of such duty, so denied later in publications; and 18 U.S.C. 2071 felony in each count.

In Petersen v ALLEN, 323 counts in 2013, and 2 counts in 2002 and 2011, affirm this fraud; a felony also under 18 USC 242 rule.

No court or state is empowered to deny this determination, so reserves in 76 O.S. 76-9 rule, "All necessary force" to include the political power of the people in lawful 22 O.S. 22-31 an 22-32 rule a defense against 76 O.S. 76-1, 76-3, 76-4, 76-6, and most heinous of all - 76-8 violation in premeditated child kidnapping of a newborn to concealment for embezzlement of Federal benefits of the UNITED STATES, a crime under 18 U.S.C. 666 rule.

It is written this would come to pass.



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 21 2020 - 5:04 pm

Be ye aware of the rule that enjoins the entirety of the Constitution of the State of Oklahoma to the Constitution of the United States and to United States Code in Statutory Law, made Supreme Law by the same Constitution then in the State of Oklahoma per Article I section I-1 rule; the law, and the judges of the change who stand in the name of the people, in political power to modify or remake or dissolve this Federal Union and/or any State thereof or body of its commission.


<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/241?fbclid=IwAR2Ozi6gmNjildlwXNnJh7LdpoEy5YKPg0xzNvB5OMPpZGr9bhw-PECvsvM>

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020 - 1:36 am

My grandmother said, "Suffering is just a way for God to make you into a better weapon". And I thought, "Then God must be a nuclear power by now, and if it be the purpose of such God that we find our place in Hell, then we take Hell, because it does not matter where we are - only who we are."



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020 - 2:18 am

All I ever wanted was to have a family and children. Instead I was condemned to witness the birth of a nation in the blood of my children and the fraud of those war criminals who took them from me in contempt of the law, enemies of the American People and traitors to the human race each and every one of them who stood by and did nothing (Complicity) in the belief justice would be accomplished without the duty of the American people to resist.

I have paid in blood, and born the crown of thorns made by Democrat Simpletons and cowards, child abusers, pedophiles, and drug addicts cast in the innocence of pharmacology - and industry of the morally and mentally ill deflecting their deviant sodomy and violence on everyone around them - and I swear that the return to peace with such a people bears no relief or future so long as their state and their representatives for one instant allege to be the lawful government of my nation or its people. There is no Federal Union or State that sustains by any process or claim a human trafficker or human trafficking shelter or office; nor the rights of any one legal person under that umbrella afforded the Berne Convention or any other right under the Hague Convention as would otherwise apply to civilized men and women, nor any institution or legal person of their political or public trust or office of profit afford them standing.

The "General Welfare" has been long the defense, along with the "Best Interests" of the disabled and minor persons reliant on broad and general claims which are as much a defense of the institution of the church of political indulgence under Title IV fraud and felony embezzlement of the Treasury of the United States as might be the implied individual interests of an individual child.

It is therefore obvious and natural that the collective community and State be made jointly responsible for any single injury to ANY SINGLE INDIVIDUAL CHILD OR PARENT, as set forth in law by 588 U.S. ____ case 17-647 rule (2019). And as a State, which is NOT A UNITED STATES CITIZEN and so NOT ENTITLED PROTECTION OR STANDING IN THE CLASS "UNITED STATES CITIZEN", not then immune to "Forfeiture" nor to "EXCESSIVE FINES" set by the People and in 586 U.S. ____ case 17-1091 (2019) so entitled relief per Oklahoma Constitution Article II section II-6 rule, and by Oklahoma Supreme Court rule in 23 O.S. 23-9.1 subsection D class III violation themed "unlimited" damages, be then likely also subject per the Hague rule to "equal peril and damage or loss threatened" as did Renee Banks and her attorney allege "Total Forfeiture" a threat to conceal Magnus Vincent Petersen from his father and a written "pledge" to return the child to his possession against which they relied to blackmail and extort the people of the State of Oklahoma, a crime already evident in XXIII-1A violation in writing, and accessory to this act of genocide barred by 45 CFR and Federal Register Volume 81 Number 244 governing the STATE OF OKLAHOMA and STATE OF TEXAS in this felony embezzlement of United States benefits barred at law and in writing an "unlimited taking" in time prohibited by Federal Law 15 U.S.C. 1692g and 1692n rule.

Achieving by this act a permanent refusal of the demand of habeas corpus served upon the officers of the court in November 2018 then clearly a writ of habeas corpus, and responding to such writ with a barratry in false suit prohibited by 45 CFR 303.6 rule; to harass and intimidate the parent away from the child pledged to their possession contrary 76 O.S. 76-8, affirming 76 O.S. 76-9 rule and Article II section II-1 relief in II-6 right, the forfeiture of the UNITED STATES and STATE OF OKLAHOMA and STATE OF TEXAS by their act contrary 18 U.S.C 3161 rule from January 17 2019 to February 21 2020 without trial granted motion that day.

Constructing by escape of this rule and 45 CFR a fraud to escape the spoliation evident on record of an 18 Year child kidnapping and concealment confessed kidnapping of a UNITED STATES CITIZEN and plan to kidnap in Deprivation of Rights including XXIII-1A "RIGHT TO WORK" express and in writing and in license

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

also in 2017 on demand for a bribe of \$30,000 USD not owed or due per 45 CFR 302.56 rule and 303.6 rule.

These acts, official acts of the STATE OF TEXAS and STATE OF OKLAHOMA and UNITED STATES, all legal persons at law, by their agents as employees sealed and served in INTERSTATE COMMERCE by UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, war crimes voiding their commission per 42 U.S.C. 1994, to include the right to forfeiture of their estate at law; contrary the protection granted natural persons and registered trusts of the Social Security Administration of the United States - themed UNITED STATES CITIZENS.

See 586 U.S. ____ case 17-1091 in the opinion of Ginsburg for the Supreme Court of the United States, and be it known by all men, the commission of the legal person and estate of these persons is void by operation of law, under 76 O.S. 76-9 rule and 42 U.S.C. 1994 rule; on their claim to sustain the fraud in the blood of even one child taken at a tender age for purpose of concealment, for which these public officers did hold ransom and by their delay accomplish the breach of General Order No 100 in Article 16, which reads:

https://avalon.law.yale.edu/19th_century/lieber.asp

And by such perfidy, treason in the impersonation of the office of the commission "UNITED STATES" so committed a personation of a felony; this abuse upon the estate JAMES ARNOLD ALLEN, a UNITED STATES CITIZEN, in violation of both State and Federal Jurisdiction not afforded either court at law (Ginsburg, 586 U.S. ____ case 17-1091).

"General Order No. 100 - Art. 16.

Military necessity does not admit of cruelty - that is, the infliction of suffering for the sake of suffering or for revenge, nor of maiming or wounding except in fight, nor of torture to extort confessions. It does not admit of the use of poison in any way, nor of the wanton devastation of a district. It admits of deception, but disclaims acts of perfidy; and, in general, military necessity does not include any act of hostility which makes the return to peace unnecessarily difficult."

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020

A nation, who admit war against our people, should accept no amazement at the operation of law that makes their acts "treason" where they then seek succor to claim brotherhood with the victims of the genocide they committed. The very act of genocide separated them from our nation and all rights.



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020

The blood of a generation is on the hands of the Democratic Candidates today, who deny Petersen v ALLEN was less than genocide by the Democratic Party of the State of Texas, and sustained by the DNC members of Oklahoma, Arkansas, Illinois, California, and New York State, in treason against the American People and fraud to embezzle over \$566 billion USD in false claims that followed this abuse, in ethnic cleansing against conservative religious and academic persons in the United States, and in aid provided by Nation of Japan, and persons who directly supported their organizations in California and Texas known to us as "NPR" and the "Tides Foundation", through 501(c)3 corporations activity to act as "sales representatives" for foreign owned long-haul optical data transport corporations representing anti-American interests after the \$11 billion annihilation of the Canadian telecom firm and Oklahoma company who were the targeted firms named by the kidnappers.

This form of fraud constitutes a criminal abuse of United States license for office of profit in the public trust, and a war crime; affording foreign owned and influenced companies immunity for their contribution to regional workforce payroll in the STATE OF TEXAS and STATE OF OKLAHOMA, in exchange for children.

Understand the consequences of such fraud are FORFEITURE, and worse, as we discuss the actions of employees of NTT AMERICA during this fraud, contrary a court order, and to extort, blackmail, and export TRADE SECRETS from the United States to Sweden, Iceland, and then to South Korea and China by proxy with partner firms in SHENZHEN DISTRICT known to be the competitor of that Canadian firm in 2001 from which the child was taken and then concealed by FORMER EMPLOYEES of of ROBERT HALF TECHNOLOGIES AND NORTEL NETWORKS.

Who did, in this capacity, act to kidnap and conceal an American child from the family to whom they did belong and in whose legal POSSESSION the child was ORDERED ex parte, having prior been in the SOLE POSSESSION of the same and SOLE DEPENDANCE for over 12 months and then taken during INTERSTATE COMMERCE BY FORCE to carry out this fraud.

A felony under 18 U.S.C. section 666 and 2071 violation.

Treason... Genocide... War Crimes.... attacks on United States Soldiers in violation of Federal Law and United States Policy, and publications to deceive the public (76 O.S. 76-3) violations meant to disable the XXIII-1A "RIGHT TO WORK" of UNITED STATES CITIZENS and American people; are obligated the most strict termination of all rights under the BERNE CONVENTION, to disavow all claims by those "Rebel States" and their associates, and void their standing in the Federal Union for all time, to include their domestic "companies" and businesses, as well as any and all "Corporations of the United States" who have nexus in those places forever.

It is a war crime. The act of war, constructed in violation of the Lieber Code in violation. And a felony under 18 USC 2071 rule, to false report of a court procedure to falsify documents in the taking and threat of forfeiture of an estate or business to a FOREIGN POWER by any UNITED STATES legal person. The penalty for which is 20 years in prison, or death, set forth in 18 USC 1201 and 241.

For which the record shows that "Veronica M. Petersen" was wholly in default, and such evidence refused admission then falsified by Dee Miller as admitted, a fraud by STATE OF TEXAS to kidnap UNITED STATES CITIZENS in its own industry in Dallas, Texas and Richardson Texas; part of a fraud as serious as prior Enron, MCI Worldcom, and Savings and Loan securities fraud committed under protection of then Attorney General Greg Abbott; who did refuse this due process claim in "MARK BITARA et al vs STATE OF TEXAS" and plea "Sovereign Immunity" to shield the public from discovery of this falsification of \$14 billion in false Title IV write down claims on TEXAS FAMILY CODE, void by its very language in section 157.261 a "final judgment" not afforded and illegal debt barred in 18 USC Chapter 95 and 96, themed racketeering

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

interstate corrupt organization by abuse of office, false warrants (3 samples), and false books created by STATE OF TEXAS to aid in human trafficking in the UNITED STATES jurisdiction. For which the employees of the Social Security Administration in record show knowingly did aid and assist in this fraud with Oklahoma Residents, to embezzle from the Treasury of the United States, in felony activity barred by 21 O.S. 21-748 rule.

"It is too late to apologize." The war crime is performed, and the consequence is the formal dissolution of those States and their assets in full, a sole remedy to begin to affirm the degree of fraud afforded by the Executive Branch in 2001-2016.

Donald J. Trump, through direction to Federal Register Volume 81 Number 244, ordered this end. Only his administration is innocent in this abuse, and acts to end that administration an attack upon the POTUS identical to the fraud executed to disable the estate of JAMES ALLEN in 2001-2020.

Bear witness, and lament the end of the Union. Paid in blood. A treason against the American People by the very FRAUD that the officers denied the letters pleading for relief as they refuted the very "CHOICE OF LAW" and "satisfaction of all obligations" before open court made by their own filing in UNIFORM INTERSTATE FAMILY SUPPORT ACT, a compact between STATE OF TEXAS and STATE OF OKLAHOMA which was never intended on being enforced and construed to be a NEW COURT ORDER OBTAINED WITHOUT RULE OF LAW TO FAVOR THE STATES IN HUMAN TRAFFICKING ACTIVITY, A FELONY, which by 22 O.S. 22-407 rule became the trap exposing the "final judgment" rule of TFC 157.261 and fraud of estate forfeiture a design in TFC 157.008 and 157.009, rules that allege "TOTAL FORFEITURE OF ALL CREDIT OF ANY PARENT FOUND UNMARRIED BY THE STATE, IN PERPETUITY, AND CREATE AND INSTALL A FALSE SECURITY OR BOND ON THE PARTY OF OVER \$100,000 USD NOT LAWFUL PER THE SIXTY (60) DAY RULE OF 45 CFR effective 1991-2020 PER FEDERAL REGISTER VOLUME 81 NUMBER 2044, and so themed on face an "excessive fine" and unlawful per 586 U.S. _____ case 17-1091, admitting there "NO SUCH POWER IS AFFORDED OR PERMITTED NOR IMPLIED OR DISCRETION OF THE STATE OR FEDERAL CIVIL COURT OR ANY PROCEDURES." Set forth prior in 18 U.S.C. 1991 and 15 U.S.C. 1673(b) and 1673(c) rule - unmaking by "OPERATION OF LAW" any and all instruments or derived claims which violate this 65% "taking" theory of civil fines.

A crime - a war crime - and a willing treason.

There can be no Texas in America now.



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020

The most offensive part of the NAZI PARTY was their reliance on mental health to degrade, invalidate, and impeach the complaints of the Jews, Gypsies, and vulnerable people they preyed on systematically in cause of "the greater good".

Every Democrat is guilty of this abuse, today, in America.

When a person writes that some other person is "spiraling out of control", "has a problem", or otherwise try to impose this "nazi rhetoric against their enemies", we can be assured that every teacher and manager and officer in that writer's life, broadcast studio, and news agency or court are criminal enemies.

I hold a DEGREE IN CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY, and the claims being used in media and to intimidate the public are knowing fraud to intimidate the public against a lawful examination of the COURT RECORD and LAWS WHICH APPLY to the fraud.

What we are seeing is an organized union-like retaliation against persons based on political and economic class, from which the evidence of a failure of the Public Education System and STATE REGENTS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION are evident in the license of mental health practice; made into a form of commission of authority to disable, disbar, and disenfranchise persons similar to Midieval European law by oligarchy.

NO PRACTICAL OR SCIENTIFIC MEASURES are used in the tests, and their resort to incite violence by class (Volksverhetzung) is equivalent to the felony of the same name in Germany after World War II.

The entailment of insurance to "procedure" driven medicine has created a "false practice of medicine" in our States, which in turn are connecting the mentally unscrupulous (immoral) public to the pharmacology industry; advancing both costs of medical care for persons who are truly in need of therapy while creating stigma to "sell the compos mentis rights" (suffrage and legal standing) of a generation in a knowing fraud against civil rights not afforded the medical practice of persons.

No person may, for instance, in 40 minutes time and without consulting with any witnesses, conduct a CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION OF 18 USC 2261A violation "Felony Stalking", and to make such claim contrary the victim's prior existing formal complaint of a crime, is a crime also themed 43A O.S. 43A-5-104 and in suspension of the right to do business also a violation of 18 USC 241 and 242 felony criminal code; citing XXIII-1A rule a Federally respected acknowledgement of the criminal nature of the suspension of the RIGHT TO WORK by any organization of labor, such as Alica Scarbrough and Veronica Petersen, Donald Beal and Brian Young, or other persons alleging to be in the same industry as the party they are attacking to disable; having themselves been convicted of "THEFT BY CHECK", "SUBSTANCE ABUSE", "FCC VIOLATIONS over \$10,000 as officers of KEIF-LP", and of fraud to allege false equity in a registered company the goal of such actions supporting the taking, concealment, and deceit to kidnap a child under the age of 3 for 18 years during an ORDER obligating his return to such person which the parties did also cite repeatedly as cause to pay money to them for protection.

Know you then, these persons claims are a criminal conspiracy against rights, and the actions they take socialist extremist and national socialist positions which rely upon the same justification the Nazi Party used in 1937-1944 to kill millions of people; and in which I, James Allen, swear and affirm in witness by my staff and other licensed professional investigators findings, are a gang activity in interest of kidnapping and ransom of a child prohibited at law this day February 22 2020; representing in these acts companies located in Nation of Japan, Nation of Germany, and the Kingdom of Sweden belonging then to the government and corporation formed in commission of the nation of South Korea; such acts a crime against the American People and people of the State of Oklahoma; in conspiracy, so confessed in over 300 pages of documents now in my possession. Signed by myluv187, a GOOGLE USER, who was the account

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

created to channel these threats to my office; and obvious in its construction a "threat of murder" on context of a child kidnapping and public images to call for violence against my person in bodily injury.


Know well then these acts are genocide, and in their commission, I deny any associate of these persons aid and comfort, for their actions have murdered in the first degree my family members so defined under the Oklahoma Anti Terrorism Act, and for that Terrorist Hoax, have no standing or right to assembly before my people or their community - an alien and foreign agent in my assessment - each and every one.

This fraud is an attack on the United States and the Laws of the United States under color of law and abuse of legal process, for which there is no statutory relief and no peace, nor a return to peace possible so long as such persons are not acknowledged for their actions and injuries in this place.

And for this reason, no Union where they or their families are a party a legal right to my testimony, in corruption of blood achieved upon their perjury and false swearing to incite murder and public violence in PONTOTOC COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA, and throughout the UNITED STATES and other nations afforded their professional abuse of communication and records a subsection D class III 23 O.S. 23-9.1 "spoliation" attempted to disable the United States government and rights enumerated in TRADE SECRET and other laws not subject negotiation or suspension whatsoever, per Oklahoma Constitution Article II rule; treason by hostage taking to extort the office of a registered and commissioned agent of the UNITED STATES in Pontotoc County, State of Oklahoma, an attack upon the UNITED STATES sustained 2001 August 11 to 2020 without remorse or concession; to the injury of over \$423 million in lost sales and \$5 million USD per month; and upon \$566 billion USD in UNITED STATES TREASURY NOTES falsely directed contrary Federal Law, a sustained fraud warranting audit by any competent business person or POTUS of the agencies and their subcontractors - the States - for qui tam civil suit duly filed with the Federal Bureau of Investigation this 2019 by JAMES ALLEN.

The officers responsible for barring this investigation should be removed from office and their pensions and all United States Benefits terminated in perpetuity.

Such is the serious nature of aiding and abetting child stealing, human trafficking, and extortion of the United States to influence the election of the 2004-2020 Federal Government, and claims designed by legal intent to disable the "suffrage rights" of UNITED STATES CITIZENS by fraud including child abduction.



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020

When you correctly conclude Obamacare would have everyone who disputes the Democrat platform classified as mentally ill, in exchange for a false promise of medical care; you conclude rightly. My mother practiced medicine for 35 years in PONTOTOC COUNTY, and I can assure you - insurance drove her and other pediatricians out of business, and helped no person receive medicine or child care in our community. Doctors once helped people to the best of their ability, before union price fixing destroyed that system in an effort to create an exclusive class of care that ordinary people could NEVER AFFORD without trading their freedom for W2 employment and taking over 55% of their welfare conditional any medical security or right to work.

We must not allow slavery under law to become a means of indenture for our children, or insurance under STATE MONOPOLY to become a mandatory rule subverting the protection from this union activity and racketeering against the right of suffrage and freedom of speech obligated Article II section II-3 and II-22 rule. When truth becomes subject to a fee or permit to work conditional its utterance, and license denies the public the right to hear resistance of political prisoners, we have lost our Republic, now and forever, in false sale of human rights and fraud to promote a criminal embezzlement of the public trust by a class of Citizens not entitled to that right exclusive of any person and exclusive of the permission of the court, state, or agency to our own freedom of speech and right to instruct and raise our children without license from the State or Federal Union under Socialism.

These abuses are so extreme, that the very word "Democracy" and "Slavery" are interchangeable now in 2020, and the presumption that charity or other right to appeal for relief conditional a "general welfare" claim in bias stereotype to suppress the truth a common defense at law for the extortion, blackmail, and trafficking of minor children on the basis of a legal - rather than a natural - definition of the title and rights, privileges, and protections of "Parent", a legal title of nobility incorporated now a grant rather than an operation of law, by these treasonous elements of the UNITED STATES created by foreign national investment in our economy, States, and public institutions.

The WEST GATE of this Republic is the child, and guard you well against its taking or disbarment by foreign power or influence, for those children are the weakest wall of this Republic and its sole vulnerability at law.

To withhold medical care from a child on the basis of political sale of suffrage or opposition is a treason against the Republican form of government, and a criminal act on face.

As is to suspend the contact between parent and child for a bribe and conditional a public endorsement of human trafficking and slavery, a high crime for which war crimes barely describe.

I have studied the law, and the rule, and the officers thereof - and found no basis for the vitriol of this "NEW DEMOCRATIC NAZI ACTIVITY" made popular by CNN, MSNBC, and other organizations like NPR and STATE OF TEXAS. I therefore conclude that there is no legal right to such claims, and their actions contrary 43A O.S. 43A-5-104 are 18 USC 2261A felony stalking of a "class" of persons in our Republic, a threat against the children of that class, and a treason on face and by acts.

There can be no consensus or civil order where the abuse of one side subjects the other to false testimony to "know their mind" and "presume their intent" one of evil, by which they would take their rights, their property, and their children prior any conviction and demand "PROOF" from them for its return.

Treason is the charge. And a Civil Death - the forfeiture of all claims and registration of rights and property both physical and intangible - the sole fitting penalty in perpetuity for any natural person who utters

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

opposition to this or supports its acts, agents, and claims then of record a FRAUD BEFORE THE LAW AND CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, perfidy defined.

Let there be no peace now or ever between these parties, as the very existence of one disclaims the protections and security of the other in legal theory, a treason against the Human Race and enemy combatant of all Humanity.

Grant them no standing, as we would grant them no shelter or aid, and be done with this abuse of the human race based on "CLASS" or "MIND" which is beyond the authority of the office of State or its agents in any way an assault upon "Suffrage" of every man, woman, and child of our species.

Thought crimes have no place in the jurisdiction of the American People, nor injury for the claims of others in their paranoid delusion and projection of their own weakness of character upon any person, who has not done injury to another, or for report of injury done to them a cause to take and conceal their children tolerated any expiration of the duty to remedy obligated Oklahoma Constitution article II section II-6 rule.

Treason. Treason against humanity. Not only a crime, but a crime in spite of past crimes repeated, without remorse - beyond the sovereign authority of any nation or people to pardon consideration of that injury the relief required for that abuse; and to indemnify the victims against any claim that arise from their own self-defense, the obligation at law (76 O.S. 76-9 rule).

A felony, 22 O.S. 22-31 right to self-defense then afforded; and 22-32 rule the obligation of Hue and Cry of Common law, that others both act to defend or enjoin themselves to the offense by inaction "Complicity of Genocide", a war crime. As there can be no neutrality in the taking for concealment in perpetuity of a child or destruction of a culture to prevent the return of that child to its origin and rightful place.

Let no claim or nation stand who dare defend this offense.

Even the Federal Union, or those against the United States which remains with the people of the State of Oklahoma, inseparable and separate from this taking of its own identity and good name. The name is not subject taking, nor the children of the persons that were taken to compel its surrender either, and all such claims or consideration for less than horror done in captivity and concealment, isolation, and fraud, no defense against 28 U.S. 1738A(e) rule.

That without due process, per KELLY v KELLY, "automatic mistrial" by operation of law has no legal force; nor 15 U.S.C. 1673(c) any order or process to claim some consideration not a minority of the disposable income after subsistence cost; a legal thing at law. A fraud, made doubly worse, the economic attempt to end the life of the parent to whom the child was obligated a return, and attempted murder in Terrorist Hoax, per the Oklahoma anti Terrorism Act.

Regard you now the law, and comply. Or stand for treason against the United States, the people, and the people of the State of Oklahoma; who are sole political power in this matter; acknowledging none to which the STATE OF TEXAS, STATE OF OKLAHOMA, or UNITED STATES as legal persons would imply is theirs by right not subject this condition, a limited lease and removed all rights so defined by Ginsburg in 586 U.S. ____ case 17-1091 ruling in 2019 to convey the rule of law from 1868-2020; expressly FORBIDDEN.

(Photograph - **caravaggio the conversion on the way to damascus**)

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"



A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020

Why the unions and regulation of Democrats are actually racism in disguise, and genocide activity to destroy competitors with plantation politics.

<https://fee.org/articles/7-quotes-that-reveal-the-racist-origins-of-minimum-wage-laws/?fbclid=IwAR3zs4XDNCTA8SkcaZ9G6tDalFXdItUITe8kgQCVMwMyYa7F6DBSvc7KDx4>

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020

Iva Petersen claimed the child was being held in The Colony, DENTON COUNTY - STATE OF TEXAS, when she brandished a butcher knife and demanded I surrender my child. This is what Veronica Petersen and Donald Beal claim was abandonment.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020

May we strike the Union and the Citizenship of those enemies who domestically omit the rule to kidnap torture and defraud, so set by Statute 18 U.S.C. section 2071?

Revelations 22:18-19

“I testify to everyone who hears the words of the prophecy of this book: if anyone adds to them, God will add to him the plagues which are written in this book; and if anyone takes away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God will take away his part from the tree of life and [a]from the holy city, which are written in this book.”

https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Revelation+21&version=NASB&fbclid=IwAR34cuNVjWSDKEYmieleFD3dsp_jUroQvBW78-_1wLyF0fucu-9mAit5DLk

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 22 2020

What Bearing does the mercy of God have on Genocide and the omission of truth in law and Complicity - a war crime?

Hermeneutics.

Whereby Even God does not forgive, mankind as an inferior is not to be held to a higher obligation or his positions themed less worthy than the word of Jesus Christ on such offenses, so condemn as well.

The power to Assemble - AND - the power to disassociate without abandonment of the self-identification of the people in this right political power of the people not granted in any degree to the State or UNITED STATES, per Oklahoma Constitution Article II section II-1, affords the people the right to break off or dissolve those same bonds in 76 O.S. 76-9 rule of the common law, Constitutional law to explicit intent, and statutory law of Oklahoma (1907); is reserved and in a Republic a right of the individual to invoke when all other remedy fail to afford the II-6 rule.

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hermeneutics?fbclid=IwAR0zt7U2i706SRzY8QgupG0DYe7xJmW7Osp2Sv0Qp_H-HT7r-UVMD1n5bh4

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

###

Feb 23 2020

I was engaged to be married and returning to Oklahoma with my child and his half sister and mother, as agreed, after paying \$10,000 in bills to facilitate her move to Oklahoma. She took drugs, slept with her ex boyfriend, and abducted our baby on Interstate 75 in 2001 August.

With my ex girlfriend from college, Ally Scarbrough, she and Donald Beal threatened murder to conceal the child after she failed to appear in court throughout 2001 - being then "wholly in default", the Texas Court would not return my child and refused to let me see or speak to him or know his location from 2001 to 2020. During this time I was legally in "possession" of the child, both States refused to press criminal charges or admit the automatic mistrial despite over 300 pages of threats to murder and disable my firm and profession.

Most of these threats omit that this kidnapping was fraudulently reported in sworn complaint "abandonment" despite threat to murder me by Veronica Petersen. Veronica Petersen was diagnosed as having a mental illness, and prescribed drugs for this treatment; and has since in a conspiracy against rights falsely alleged this claim was against my person falsely - despite no such diagnosis and fraud reported in criminal complaint to felony 18 USC 666 violation.

The State responded to all writs of habeas corpus with illegal demands for money. This was and is a war crime in the 21st Century, and made to aid NTT America, a competitor of my client Nortel Networks - the former employer of Veronica Petersen.

After exposing herself Veronica Petersen abandoned her job as a web developer at Nortel Networks. Veronica Petersen illegally sublet her apartment and was subject to an eviction. Veronica Petersen failed to pay her car payments and her car was also repossessed.

Sean Wayne Pike reported after the abduction that Veronica Petersen was driving while intoxicated and using LSD, and in one instance near the baby talking to people who were not physically present as if they were, prompting Sean to contact James Allen to report fear for the safety of the child.

Veronica Petersen has since pathologically accused James Allen of this to deflect attention from her operation of BDSM community electronic forums and sexually explicit public photography, including photos in public nude at apartment pools and full frontal spread posed photos with men other than James Allen, which she transmitted to him after the abduction along with images of her flush from alcohol abuse while with other men.

These photos have been submitted to the PONTOTOC COUNTY DISTRICT COURT in compliance with 45 CFR 302.56(c) rule, showing circumstances of "fraud" in the kidnapping and 18 year concealment to extort \$150,000 USD from James Allen.

Support of this fraud as claims to harass James Allen which have persisted from 2001-2020 including a shooting in September 2019 with \$200 in property damage, and an ongoing sustained attack on network services from September 2019 to February 22 2020 demonstrate a sustained extortion and blackmail strategy to kidnap UNITED STATES CITIZENS by Texas and Oklahoma Democratic Party members; themed a criminal and written plan to violate the Oklahoma "RIGHT TO WORK" rule (XXIII-1A), and terrorist hoax against three registered businesses in PONTOTOC COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

APPENDIX:

What You Need To Know About TCP "SACK Panic"

<https://isc.sans.edu/forums/diary/What+You+Need+To+Know+About+TCP+SACK+Panic/25046/>

[CVE-2019-11477](#) Linux > 2.6.29 SACK processing integer overflow. Leads to kernel panic.

[CVE-2019-11478](#) Linux < 4.14.127 SACK Slowness or Excess Resource Usage

[CVE-2019-5599](#) FreeBSD RACK Send Map SACK Slowness

[CVE-2019-11479](#) Linux (all versions) Excess Resource Consumption Due to Low MSS Values

You are vulnerable if you are using a current Linux system, have selective acknowledgments enabled (a common default) and are using a network card with TCP Segment Offload (again, a common default in modern servers). A patch has been made available. Alternatively, you can disable SACK. Netflix included patches for the Linux kernel in its advisory. The following Linux kernel versions include the patch: 4.4.182, 4.9.182, 4.14.127, 4.19.52, 5.1.11.

What is SACK?

Each host connected to a network can send packets of a specific maximum size ("MTU"). This size depends on the network technology used, and for Ethernet, a typical size is 1500 bytes. But it can be as large as 9,000 for Ethernet. Some of this space is used for headers. With a standard 20 byte IP header, and a 20 byte TCP header, TCP packets usually can hold up to 1,460 bytes of data (the "Maximum Segment Size"). TCP will break down a data stream into segments that are small enough not to exceed this size, and hosts will communicate their respective maximum segment size to each other to reduce the chance of fragmentation.

To order packets in a TCP connection, each byte transmitted is assigned a sequence number, and the TCP header will list the sequence number of the first byte contained in the packet. A receiver will acknowledge which sequence number it received by communicating the next sequence number it expects.

Only acknowledging complete segments leads to a bit of inefficiency. A receiver can not communicate to a sender that it already received some out of order data. Instead, it will continue to acknowledge the last complete set of segments it has received.

To avoid this inefficiency, SACK was introduced. It allows receivers to notify the sender that it has received an out of order segment. "I received everything up to sequence number 10, and expect 11 next, but I also received 20-30". This way, the sender knows to resend only 11-19 and to continue with 31 next.

What is TCP Segment Offload?

TCP Segment Offload is a feature included in most current network cards. To reduce the work CPUs have to do to buffer and reassemble TCP segments, network cards will take care of some of the TCP processing. In this case, the operating system will receive large "packets" exceeding the MTU of the network.

What is TCP "SACK Panic"

Operating systems need to store data until it is transmitted (and acknowledged) or received. Linux uses a data structure referred to as "Socket Buffer" to do so. In Linux, this socket buffer can hold up to 17 segments. As packets are sent and acknowledged, data is removed from the structure, or some of the data may be consolidated. Moving the data can, in some cases, lead to more than 17 segments stored, which in turn, leads to a kernel panic.

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

[root@bunny stryx]# /root/bin/log_activity3 "Feb 22"

Banned Activity Log:

Feb 22, 2019

Hour xx - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	M0	M1	M2	A0	A1	A2	C	D	E	F	i0	i1	i2	nc	nt
Hour 00 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13705	59	157	0	6	0	1	1	35	0	0	0	13964	13964
Hour 01 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	10273	58	32	0	6	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	10392	10392
Hour 02 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	11555	40	52	0	5	0	1	0	24	0	0	0	11677	11677
Hour 03 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13891	44	50	0	18	0	1	0	22	0	0	0	14026	14026
Hour 04 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	11232	45	39	2	24	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	11365	11365
Hour 05 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	161	45	133	0	2	4	0	0	33	0	0	0	378	374
Hour 06 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	147	42	28	0	4	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	242	242
Hour 07 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	165	46	52	0	3	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	291	291
Hour 08 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	170	45	22	0	3	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	262	262
Hour 09 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	171	56	95	0	4	0	0	1	24	0	0	0	351	351
Hour 10 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	169	49	92	1	3	0	0	0	23	0	1	1	339	338
Hour 11 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	156	48	29	2	23	0	1	0	29	0	0	0	288	288
Hour 12 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	5084	51	17	0	1	5	0	0	31	0	0	0	5189	5184
Hour 13 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13256	54	147	0	8	0	0	2	20	0	0	0	13487	13487
Hour 14 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13163	53	48	0	20	0	1	0	24	0	0	0	13309	13309
Hour 15 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13183	40	41	0	4	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	13296	13296
Hour 16 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13290	41	23	0	22	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	13398	13398
Hour 17 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	8735	46	115	0	9	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	8926	8926
Hour 18 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13143	54	87	0	6	0	1	0	31	0	0	0	13322	13322
Hour 19 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	14213	42	66	0	26	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	14372	14372
Hour 20 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13987	47	34	1	22	0	1	1	22	0	0	0	14115	14115
Hour 21 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13885	48	54	1	4	10	0	0	29	0	0	0	14031	14021
Hour 22 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	11283	43	47	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	11396	11396
Hour 23 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	9279	46	23	0	25	0	0	0	31	0	3	3	9410	9407

M0 - Preroute Other

M1 - Preroute Frags

M2 - Preroute Spoof

A0 - Denied non-ICMP

A1 - Denied DNS

A2 - Denied ICMP

C - SOFTBAN

D - DNS Denied

E - DNS Raw

F - Other

i0 - ICMP Echo

i1 - ICMP Other

i2 - ICMP Permitted

nc - Counted

nt - Total

A COLLECTION OF 30 ESSAYS, POSTS, AND CITIATIONS REGARDING "Petersen v ALLEN"

[root@diana log]# /root/bin/log_activity3 "Feb 22" "/var/log/messages-20200223"

Banned Activity Log:

Feb 22, 2019

Hour xx - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	M0	M1	M2	A0	A1	A2	C	D	E	F	i0	i1	i2	nc	nt
Hour 00 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13706	86	120	0	5	0	0	1	35	0	0	0	13953	13953
Hour 01 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	9998	44	89	0	2	4	0	0	34	0	0	0	10171	10167
Hour 02 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13151	24	20	1	4	0	0	1	42	0	0	0	13243	13243
Hour 03 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	14461	4	28	3	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	14525	14525
Hour 04 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	11301	6	25	1	2	0	0	1	21	0	0	0	11357	11357
Hour 05 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	169	6	25	0	2	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	240	240
Hour 06 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	151	4	29	3	3	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	220	220
Hour 07 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	154	6	26	1	3	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	216	216
Hour 08 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	166	16	16	0	2	0	0	43	0	0	0	0	243	243
Hour 09 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	166	4	16	4	2	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	218	218
Hour 10 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	166	6	15	0	1	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	222	222
Hour 11 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	168	5	32	1	1	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	249	249
Hour 12 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	4601	6	25	0	1	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	4664	4664
Hour 13 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13245	20	21	0	5	0	0	1	32	0	0	0	13324	13324
Hour 14 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13123	59	17	0	3	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	13239	13239
Hour 15 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13227	38	25	1	3	3	0	0	37	0	0	0	13334	13331
Hour 16 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13291	37	36	0	2	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	13395	13395
Hour 17 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	9197	57	15	2	5	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	9303	9303
Hour 18 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13778	21	26	1	20	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	13871	13871
Hour 19 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	14055	44	158	3	65	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	14351	14351
Hour 20 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	13796	61	23	2	2	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	13921	13921
Hour 21 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	14037	82	18	4	1	0	1	21	0	0	0	0	14164	14164
Hour 22 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	10617	12	19	0	1	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	10678	10678
Hour 23 - M0 M1 M2 A0 A1 A2 C D E F i0 i1 i2 - nc: / nt:	0	10491	85	35	0	3	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	10645	10645

M0 - Preroute Other

M1 - Preroute Frags

M2 - Preroute Spoof

A0 - Denied non-ICMP

A1 - Denied DNS

A2 - Denied ICMP

C - SOFTBAN

D - DNS Denied

E - DNS Raw

F - Other

i0 - ICMP Echo

i1 - ICMP Other

i2 - ICMP Permitted

nc - Counted

nt - Total